



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,509	11/30/2005	Fumitsugu Fukuyo	46884-5388 (211285)	4531
23973	7590	07/31/2009		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH			EXAMINER	
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ULLAH, ELIAS	
ONE LOGAN SQUARE				
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			2892	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/537,509	FUKUYO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	ELIAS ULLAH	2892

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ELIAS ULLAH: Lex Malsawma.

(3) Mr. Akira Utsuyama.

(2) John G. Smith (Reg. No. 33,818).

(4) Mr. Yoshiki Kuroki.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Laptop video presentation of the current invention and prior art process.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 18,19, and 23 for '392, claims 3, 21 for '509, claims 56,72, 88,for '321.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior on record were discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amended claims were discussed for following applications: 10/537509, 10507392 and 10/507321. The proposed amendments will overcome the 112 rejections and prior art cited in the final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.